

| | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/790,663 | GRYKO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | BEN C. WANG | 2192 | |

All Participants:

(1) BEN C. WANG.

(2) Mr. Peter Trahms-Neudorfer (Reg. No. 59,282).

Date of Interview: 28 October 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 8, and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 8, and 15 to overcome potential rejection under 35 USC 112, secondary paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine.

Mr. Trahms-Neudorfer authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.